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Background & motivation

• G. Askaryan, early 60’s:
  – HE particle cascades produce ~20-30% more electrons than positrons
    • compton scattering, e+ annihilation, delta rays, etc.
    • => showers in dielectric produce coherent microwave Cherenkov radiation
  – One should look for low-loss microwave dielectrics abundant in nature
    • Ice, many rocks
    • Lunar regolith--a surface array on the moon!

• Immediate application was found in air showers (J. Jelley)
  – But the dominant process in EAS is not coherent Cherenkov
    • probably boosted dipole radiation from geomagnetic charge separation
  – No follow-up on Askaryan’s suggestion of solid dielectrics till 80’s

• 1988: I. Zheleznykh & R. Dagkesamansky:
  – propose that 1e20 eV neutrino events may be detectable from earth
  – First experiment (Hankins et al 96) done in 1994 w/ Parkes 64m
    • null result in 10 hours single-dish observation
Goldstone experiment

- Utilize Deep Space telecom 70m antenna DSS14 for lunar RF pulse search--fill gaps in SC sched.
- First observations late 1998:
  - approach based on Hankins et al. 1996 results from Parkes
  - utilize active RFI veto
- 1999: add 2nd 34 m fiber-linked antenna DSS13
  - initially used passive recording with local trigger at DSS14
- 2000: DSS14 down for first half, but ~20 hours livetime acquired since July
  - focussed on limb observations, lower threshold, better trigger system
Lunar Regolith Interactions & Cherenkov radiation
DSS13: 34 m Beam waveguide antenna

- DSS13: research antenna
- Uses “beam waveguide” optics
  - low-freq cutoff at ~1.8 GHz
- High efficiency, excellent surface
- At present: 140 MHz BW (S-band)
  - single pol, dual pol planned for ‘01
New RARG location

- Two relay racks of our own
- JPL tech support
- DSN committed to 120+ hours of exposure
- New trigger
- ~8 visits, ~ 20-30 hours livetime
New Trigger

- RFI veto:
  - no longer in trigger
  - record off-axis L-band signal for post-analysis

- Pulses at both antennas now required for trigger
  - powerful interference rejection
  - disc. thresholds set according to relative aperture

- Thermal noise coincidence rates ~0.2 per minute
  - but only ~1/day close to proper moon delay
Thermal Noise Statistics

- Voltages proportional to pulse field strength: pure gaussian:
  - \( \Rightarrow \frac{dN}{dV} \sim \exp(-V^2) \)

- Square-law detection used for discrimination
  - \( \Rightarrow \) Power \( \sim V^2/Z \)
  - \( \Rightarrow \frac{dN}{dP} \sim \frac{dN}{dV} \)
  - \( \sim \exp(-I) \)

- Statistics of detected power are exponential
  - \( \Rightarrow \) 5 sigma equivalent significance requires SNR~15
Timing & pulse shape calibration

- S-band Monocycle pulser:
  - provides band-limited lin.pol. Pulses
  - checks amp. Linearity, net cable delays, band-limited pulse shape

- Zoomed version: LCP pulse is broader (40 MHz BW), RCP narrower (~100MHz BW); also slight timing offset
Typical RF interference trigger

One of the 2 antennas may have high RFI singles rates

Will produce excess coincidence rate with 2nd antenna thermal noise

Events are clearly distinguishable: L-band channel pulse is present

Overall increase in trigger rates ~10%
Typical Thermal Noise trigger
Goldstone diffuse neutrino flux limits

- ~30 hrs livetime (includes previous data)
  - No events above net 5 sigma

New Monte Carlo estimates:
  - Xsection ‘down’ by 30-40%
    - moving target effect!
  - Full refraction raytrace, including surface roughness, regolith absorption
  - Y-distribution, LPM included

Limb observations:
  - lower threshold, but much less effective volume
  - Weaker limit but with more confidence

Fly’s Eye limit: needs update!
  - Corrected here (PG) by using published CR aperture, new neutrino xsections
Statistics of non-RFI triggers near threshold

Cuts applied:
- tighter timing
- pulse width close to band-limited
- not obvious RFI

BKG weight determined by randomizing event UT within run period

Some concentration of events near correct delay:
- not significant yet
- ~2 microsec offset hard to explain
Future plans

- Still ~100 hours more dual antenna time to be scheduled in next 6-8 months
- New strategy: use partial defocussing at DSS14 (J. Ralston suggestion) to improve effective volume
  - expect factor of 5-10 improvement with only modest increase in energy threshold
  - DSS14 beam will better match DSS13 beam & response
- Improve bandwidth, get dual polarization at DSS13
  - Could lead to roughly equal sensitivity for two antennas