Non-linear Evolution of Short Pulses in FEL Amplifiers and FEL Cascades at Saturation

L. Giannessi, P. Musumeci

2005 ICFA workshop – Erice, 10.13.2005

Outline

- Overview of short radiation pulses dynamics in single pass FELs at saturation (Superradiance -Superfluorescence)
- Bunching and harmonic generation
- Cascaded FEL dynamics at saturation
- Harmonic Cascade and SPARC examples

Superradiance

Regime of "field/particles" evolution with

 Power scaling typical of superradiance a la "Dicke" (Dicke, PR 93, 99 (1954))

 $P \propto n_e^2$

- Solitary wave-like pulse propagation
- Peak power exceeding the saturation threshold
- Longitudinal self-focusing

First observed in simulations of FEL amplifiers in

R. Bonifacio, B. W. J. Mc Neil, P. Pierini, PRA 40, 4467 (1989)

R. Bonifacio, L. De Salvo Souza, P. Pierini, N. Piovella, NIM A296, 358 (1990)

Two ingredients

Slippage

 The light pulse advances over the electron distribution of a distance N_ in N undulator periods (_ is the resonant wavelength)

Saturation

- When the FEL laser power reaches $\sim P_{E_s}$ saturation occurs: there is a cyclic energy exchange between electrons and field (in steady state regime – ignoring slippage)

Steady state FEL phase space evolution



Steady state FEL phase space evolution

What happens if we have a short pulse that slips over the electrons in a time shorter than the synchrotron period ?



Short pulse slipping in a synchrotron period



Condition

Distance covered by the light in a synchrotron period

$$z_s = \frac{2\pi c}{\omega_s} = \lambda_u \frac{\sqrt{1 + K^2/2}}{\sqrt{2KK_R f_B(K)}} \propto \frac{1}{P_L^{1/4}}$$

Slippage length over a synchrotron period

$$z_{sr} \cong z_s \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_u}$$

Relation between pulse length and power

$$\left(\sigma_{sr} \approx \frac{z_{sr}}{2}\right)$$

$$\sigma_{sr}P_L^{1/4} = const$$

Solitary wave-like superradiant pulse











Pulse evolution

(Perseo http://www.perseo.enea.it)



Pulse evolution





Distance along the e-bunch



Scaling laws



"Perseo" 1D simulations

Pulse energy and power do not saturate because of the "fresh" electrons injection

Pulse propagation in "scaled" units: "field/z" vs. "z^{1/2}"



3D with diffraction (Genesis)





Takahiro Watanabe, WG3 1° talk this afternoon



Reconstructed frog trace at the end of the undulator

Phase space in a superradiant pulse (Perseo simulation)



Superradiant harmonics

- Short bunching peaks on the pulse front side at the higher order harmonics
- Dynamics for non-linear harmonic evolution "faster" by the harmonic factor n. (i.e. $L_{g,n} \sim L_g / n$)
- Short bursts of harmonic radiation







Bunching coefficients in the steady state case

Optimized for a cascade



Time dependent - superradiant pulse

Optimized for the cascade



- Higher field induces higher bunching coefficients and a lower sensitivity to "spectral broadening" factors, as energy spread, emittances, magnetic errors, etc.
- A bunching factor optimized for the cascade will always occur somewhere along the pulse
- The coherent spontaneous emission from this portion of the bunch will induce a new pulse at the harmonic wavelength

Evolution in a cascade



Transition in a cascade



Coherent spontaneous emission

Superradiant regime



Distance along the e-bunch

Example of a cascade

TABLE II: Radiation seed and electron beam parameters			
Electron beam energy	$800 { m MeV}$		
Current	$1 \mathrm{KA}$		
Emittance	1 mm-mrad		
Average β	$4 \mathrm{m}$		
$\delta \gamma / \gamma$	$5 \cdot 10^{-4}$		
Seed wavelength λ	266 nm		
Seed power	$41 \mathrm{MW}$		
Seed pulse width (rms)	15 fs		

TABLE III:	Parameters	of the	FEL	Cascade.
------------	------------	--------	-----	----------

Cascade stage	1	2	3	4	5
$\rho (\cdot 10^{-3})$	11	5.8	3.3	1.9	1.3
K	4.9	3.92	2.88	1.8	1.2
Period $\lambda_w(cm)$	10	5	2.8	1.8	1.4
Resonant Wavelength λ (nm)	266	89	29.5	9.8	4.9
Peak power (GW)	1.2	4.8	7	2.5	3.1
Pulse energy (μJ)	63	72	35	4.6	5.3
Pulse width (fwhm - fs)	53	8.4	3.4	1.5	1.4
Undulator periods	60	100	180	200	480



Harmonic Cascaded FEL



Harmonic Cascade

- The gain (beam current) is not playing an essential role. The important parameters are:
 - Slippage length (N_)
 - Depth of the potential bucket (Laser Intensity + coupling parameters)

■ In the second undulator:

- The first harmonic field (the seed) is off resonance
- The harmonic pulse at the wavelength $\lambda_m = \frac{\lambda_2}{m} = \lambda_n$ still slips over the electron with velocity _2 per period !!



Example with *Sparc* undulator/beam parameters 1D *Perseo* simulation (*http://www.perseo.enea.it*)



<u>SP</u> ARC





Main parameters

Undulator period	2.8 cm
Undulator K (UM1/UM2)	1.95 / 2.4
Number of periods	77 / 77*5
Beam energy	200 MeV
Res. wavelength (nm)	266 / 332
E-beam current	110 Amp
Energy spread	10-4
Emittance	1 mm-mrad
Input pulse length (fwhm)	100 fs







Conclusions

- Simpler cascade scheme with multiple stages
- Significative extension of the FEL cascade operating range with the harmonic cascade
- Reduced sensitivity to tolerances and fluctuations:
 - No exponential gain
 - The bunching is transmitted through the cascade (with a characteristic length scaling with $z^{1/2}$)
- Pulse shape determined by FEL dynamics
- Sub-fs pulses at short wavelength (Soft X-rays)