

# Problem Set 9

Phys 342

Due April 10

**Exercises, Due Friday, April 10**

**Email your homework to me at [larkoski@reed.edu](mailto:larkoski@reed.edu)**

1. The Killing form of a Lie algebra provides the definition of normalization of operators in a particular representation of the Lie algebra. For representation  $R$  of  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ , the Killing form is

$$\mathrm{tr} \left[ \hat{L}_i^{(R)} \hat{L}_j^{(R)} \right] = k_R \delta_{ij}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathrm{tr}$  denotes the trace (i.e., the sum of diagonal elements). The quantity  $k_R$  depends on the representation. For a representation  $R$  of dimension  $D$  and Casimir  $C_R$ , where

$$C_R \mathbb{I}_D = \left( \hat{L}_x^{(R)} \right)^2 + \left( \hat{L}_y^{(R)} \right)^2 + \left( \hat{L}_z^{(R)} \right)^2, \quad (2)$$

and  $\mathbb{I}_D$  is the  $D \times D$  identity matrix, express  $k_R$  in terms of  $D$  and  $C_R$ . What is  $k_R$  for a representation of spin  $\ell$ ?

2. We've studied coherent states in the context of the harmonic oscillator and the free particle, and our formulation of angular momentum suggests that there is a definition of coherent state in that case, too. We constructed the angular momentum raising and lowering operators,  $\hat{L}_+$  and  $\hat{L}_-$ , and we could in principle define a coherent state to be an eigenstate of  $L_-$ , for example.

Consider an eigenstate of the angular momentum lowering operator for spin  $\ell$ :

$$\hat{L}_- |\psi\rangle = \lambda |\psi\rangle. \quad (3)$$

What is this state? What are the possible values of  $\lambda$ ? Does it exist in the Hilbert space?

3. An ideal model of a two-state quantum system is of an electrically-charged, spin-1/2 particle immersed in a uniform magnetic field. The spin-1/2 particle therefore has a magnetic moment and that correspondingly interacts with the external magnetic field.

Let's consider a magnetic field  $\vec{B} = B_0 \hat{z}$  and let's put an electron in it. Then, the Hamiltonian of such an electron in the magnetic field is

$$\hat{H} = \frac{e\hbar}{2m_e} B_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where  $e = 1.6 \times 10^{-19}$  C is the fundamental electric charge of the electron, and  $m_e$  is the mass of the electron. The first factor in the Hamiltonian is called the Bohr magneton and is simply the magnetic moment of the electron:

$$\mu_B = \frac{e\hbar}{2m_e}. \quad (5)$$

- (a) What is the time evolution of the expectation value of the operator  $\hat{S}_z$ ? What about  $\hat{S}_x$  and  $\hat{S}_y$ ? Provide a physical interpretation of what you find. Don't forget Ehrenfest's theorem.
- (b) What is the uncertainty principle for energy and  $x$ -component of the spin of the electron? That is, for variances  $\sigma_E^2$  and  $\sigma_{S_x}^2$  for the energy and  $x$ -component of the spin, respectively, what is their minimum product:

$$\sigma_E^2 \sigma_{S_x}^2 \geq ? \quad (6)$$

- (c) When does the lower bound in the uncertainty relation vanish? Show that this makes sense from when we know the variances vanish.
4. A very powerful technique for expressing amplitudes of scattering processes in quantum field theory, the harmonious marriage of special relativity and quantum mechanics, is through **spinor helicity**, in which every quantity is related to the eigenstates of the spin-1/2  $\hat{S}_z$  operator. This is exceptionally convenient, because eigenstates of spin-1/2 are just two-component spinors! What could be simpler.

In this problem, we will just study one identity that is often exploited in this business, called the Schouten identity. For four spin-1/2 states  $|\psi\rangle, |\rho\rangle, |\chi\rangle, |\eta\rangle$ , it states that

$$\langle\psi|\rho\rangle\langle\chi|\eta\rangle = \langle\psi|\eta\rangle\langle\chi|\rho\rangle + (\langle\rho|^* i\sigma_2 |\eta\rangle\langle\psi| i\sigma_2 (|\chi\rangle)^*)^*. \quad (7)$$

Prove this equality. Note that the complex conjugation acts only on a single bra or ket in the final term.