

# Physics 342 Lecture 17

Welcome back to more analysis of the simple harmonic oscillator! Last lecture we had started studying this system for which its Hamiltonian is:

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} \hat{x}^2 = \hbar\omega(a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2})$$

where  $a$  and  $a^\dagger$  are Hermitian conjugates of one another:

$$(a)^\dagger = a^\dagger, (a^\dagger)^\dagger = a, \text{ and}$$

their commutator is:  $[a, a^\dagger] = 1$ . Our goal in this lecture is to find the eigenvalues and eigenstates of this Hamiltonian; that is find the states  $|\psi\rangle$  and energy  $E$  such that

$$\hat{H}|\psi\rangle = E|\psi\rangle.$$

We had learned last lecture that we must restrict  $E$  to be non-negative; otherwise if  $E$  is negative, then there is no minimum eigenvalue of  $\hat{H}$ . A physical system must have a minimum energy, so negative eigenvalues must be forbidden. With that restriction, then the smallest eigenvalue of the  $a^\dagger a$  operator is 0; all other eigenvalues must be positive. So, let's just assume that  $|\psi_0\rangle$  is the state for which it has 0 eigenvalue of  $a^\dagger a$ :

$$a^\dagger a |\psi_0\rangle = 0 |\psi_0\rangle = 0.$$

By the way, we say that a state with 0 eigenvalue

of some operator is annihilated by that operator.

"Annihilated" properly means taken out of the Hilbert space; in particular " $0$ " is not in Hilbert space, if for no other reason than it cannot have the correct, unitary, normalization. Such a state is also an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian:

$$\hat{H}|\psi_0\rangle = \hbar\omega(a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2})|\psi_0\rangle = \frac{\hbar\omega}{2}|\psi_0\rangle.$$

As we have argued that  $a^\dagger a$  can have no negative eigenvalues, this  $|\psi_0\rangle$  state corresponds to the smallest eigenvalue of  $\hat{H}$ . We therefore call it the ground state, and the lowest energy of the Hamiltonian is:

$$E_0 = \frac{\hbar\omega}{2}.$$

This is fascinating. Consider a classical harmonic oscillator, like a mass on a spring. It is definitely possible for the mass to be at rest (with 0 kinetic energy) at the spring's equilibrium point (with 0 potential energy). Thus, such a classical system can have, simply, 0 energy. Quantum mechanically, however, this apparently can't be true: a quantum harmonic oscillator always has a non-zero energy, even in the ground state.

We had seen last lecture how to go from one value of energy to another, using the action of the  $a$  and  $a^\dagger$  operators individually. So, let's see if we can leverage this ground state into constructing states with larger eigenvalues. Let's consider the state formed by acting  $a^\dagger$  on  $|\psi_0\rangle$ :

$a^+ |\psi_0\rangle$ , and then the action of the Hamiltonian on it is:

$$\hat{H} a^+ |\psi_0\rangle = \hbar\omega (a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2}) a^+ |\psi_0\rangle$$

$$= \hbar\omega (a^\dagger a^\dagger a + a^\dagger [a, a^\dagger] + \frac{1}{2} a^\dagger) |\psi_0\rangle$$

$$= \hbar\omega \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\right) a^+ |\psi_0\rangle,$$

where I have used that  $a|\psi_0\rangle = 0$ . Then, apparently,

$$\hat{H} a^+ |\psi_0\rangle = \hbar\omega \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\right) a^+ |\psi_0\rangle, \text{ is an eigenstate with}$$

eigenvalue larger than the ground state energy by  $\hbar\omega$ .

This hints at a general construction of eigenvalues and eigenstates. Let's consider the state formed by acting on  $|\psi_0\rangle$  with  $a^\dagger$   $n$  times:

$(a^\dagger)^n |\psi_0\rangle$ . Then, the action of the Hamiltonian on

such a state is:  $\hat{H}(a^\dagger)^n |\psi_0\rangle = \hbar\omega (a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2})(a^\dagger)^n |\psi_0\rangle$

$$= \hbar\omega \left((a^\dagger)^n a + a^\dagger [a, (a^\dagger)^n] + \frac{1}{2} (a^\dagger)^n\right) |\psi_0\rangle$$

$$= \hbar\omega \left(a^\dagger [a, (a^\dagger)^n] + \frac{1}{2} (a^\dagger)^n\right) |\psi_0\rangle$$

Last lecture, we had showed that the commutator:

$[a^\dagger, a^n] = -n a^{n-1}$ . If we Hermitian conjugate it, we have:

$$[a^\dagger, a^n]^+ = -n (a^\dagger)^{n-1} = [(a^\dagger)^n, a]$$

Note how the order of the commutator switches, as it is nothing more than a product of operators. So, we have:

$$[a, (a^\dagger)^n] = n(a^\dagger)^{n-1}.$$

Using this in our result above, we find:

$$\hat{H}(a^\dagger)^n |\psi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega(a^\dagger)^n n + \frac{1}{2}(a^\dagger)^n |\psi_0\rangle = \hbar\omega(n + \frac{1}{2})(a^\dagger)^n |\psi_0\rangle.$$

So, through the action of  $a^\dagger$ , we can construct eigenstates of the Hamiltonian that have eigenvalue:

$$E_n = \hbar\omega(n + \frac{1}{2}), \text{ for the } n^{\text{th}} \text{ application of } a^\dagger.$$

We thus refer to the  $a$ ,  $a^\dagger$  operators as "ladder operators", as they can be used to go up and down the ladder of energy eigenvalues of  $\hat{H}$ .

While we note that  $(a^\dagger)^n |\psi_0\rangle$  is an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian, it is only additionally in the Hilbert space if its norm is 1. Let's assume that  $|\psi_0\rangle$  is normalized:  $\langle \psi_0 | \psi_0 \rangle = 1$ . What is the inner product of  $(a^\dagger)^n |\psi_0\rangle$  with itself? Note that its Hermitian conjugate is:

$$\left( (a^\dagger)^n (\psi_0) \right)^+ = \langle \psi_0 | a^n, \text{ so the inner product is:}$$

$$\langle \psi_0 | a^n (a^\dagger)^n |\psi_0\rangle = \langle \psi_0 | a^{n-1} (a^\dagger)^n a + a^{n-1} [a, (a^\dagger)^n] |\psi_0\rangle$$

$$= \langle \psi_0 | a^{n-1} n (a^\dagger)^{n-1} |\psi_0\rangle, \text{ where we have used the commutator of } a \text{ and } (a^\dagger)^n \text{ from earlier.}$$

So, we have the interesting recursion relation:

$$\langle \psi_0 | (a)^n (a^\dagger)^n | \psi_0 \rangle = n \langle \psi_0 | (a)^{n-1} (a^\dagger)^{n-1} | \psi_0 \rangle.$$

$$= n(n-1) \langle \psi_0 | a^{n-2} (a^\dagger)^{n-2} | \psi_0 \rangle$$

⋮

$$= n! \langle \psi_0 | \psi_0 \rangle = n!$$

So, indeed, the state  $(a^\dagger)^n | \psi_0 \rangle$  is in general not in the Hilbert space. However, the fix is easy: we just need to include an appropriate normalization factor.

We call:

$$|\psi_n\rangle = \frac{(a^\dagger)^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |\psi_0\rangle,$$

the  $n^{\text{th}}$  energy eigenstate of the harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian. This state is normalized:

$$\langle \psi_n | \psi_n \rangle = \frac{\langle \psi_0 | a^n (a^\dagger)^n | \psi_0 \rangle}{n!} = 1 \quad \text{and has eigenvalue}$$

equal to  $\hbar\omega(n+\frac{1}{2})$ :

$$\hat{H} |\psi_n\rangle = \hbar\omega(a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2}) (a^\dagger)^n |\psi_0\rangle = (n + \frac{1}{2}) \hbar\omega |\psi_n\rangle.$$

So, simply from properties of the operators  $a, a^\dagger$ , we have very simply constructed an infinite tower/ladder of energy eigenstates and their eigenvalues. Note also that these states are orthogonal. For  $n \neq m$ , we have the inner product

$$\langle \psi_n | \psi_m \rangle = \frac{\langle \psi_0 | a^n (a^\dagger)^m | \psi_0 \rangle}{\sqrt{n!m!}}$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $n > m$ .

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \psi_0 | a^{n-m} a^m (a^\dagger)^m | \psi_0 \rangle &= \langle \psi_0 | a^{n-m} a^{m-1} ((a^\dagger)^m a + [a, (a^\dagger)^m]) | \psi_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi_0 | a^{n-m} a^{m-1} m(a^\dagger)^{m-1} | \psi_0 \rangle \\ &\vdots \\ &= m! \langle \psi_0 | a^{n-m} | \psi_0 \rangle = 0, \text{ because } n-m > 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, it appears that these energy eigenstates could be a basis for all states in the Hilbert space, as they are orthonormal. If they are to do this, they must further be complete. Recall that a basis of vectors is complete if, for basis  $\{\vec{v}_i\}_{i=1}^N$ :

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \vec{v}_{\star i} \vec{v}_i^\top = \mathbb{I}_{N \times N}, \text{ the } N \times N \text{ identity matrix.}$$

In the case at hand, if these discrete energy eigenstates form a complete, orthonormal basis, we must have:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\psi_n\rangle \langle \psi_n| = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (a^\dagger)^n |\psi_0\rangle \langle \psi_0| a^n = \mathbb{I},$$

the identity operator. Actually proving this relationship is very subtle and essentially outside the realm of this class. However, we can take a pragmatic approach: let's assume that the  $\{|\psi_n\rangle\}$  forms a complete basis. Then, we can express an arbitrary state  $|\psi\rangle$  as a linear combination of the  $|\psi_n\rangle$ :

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \beta_n |\psi_n\rangle, \text{ and normalization requires that } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\beta_n|^2 = 1.$$

If this basis is incomplete, then there will exist

some state such that it cannot be expressed in this way. If we find such a state that is outside the Hilbert space spanned by  $\{|4_n\rangle\}$ , then we know it is incomplete and we have more work to do. However, if we never find such a state, then the completeness assumption is at least good enough for all of the states we consider. Further, if a state  $|4\rangle$  is completely described by a linear combination of the  $|4_n\rangle$  states, then, by construction, the Hamiltonian only acts on  $|4\rangle$  to produce another state that is completely described by the  $|4_n\rangle$ . So, at least this assumption will be self-consistent for our applications.

As a final point for today, let's go back to position space and make sense of the ground state  $|4_0\rangle$ . This is annihilated by a:

$a|4_0\rangle = 0$ , or, in terms of  $\hat{p}$  and  $\hat{x}$ :

$$\left( i \frac{\hat{p}}{\sqrt{2m\hbar\omega}} + \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}} \hat{x} \right) |4_0\rangle = 0$$

Replacing  $\hat{p}$  by the derivative operator, this becomes the differential equation:

$$\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} 4_0(x) = - \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}} x 4_0(x), \text{ or}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} 4_0(x) = - \frac{m\omega}{\hbar} x 4_0(x).$$

This can be solved to yield:

$$\psi_0(x) = N \exp\left[-\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar} x^2\right], \text{ where } N \text{ is a normalization constant.}$$

This is fascinating: this function is the shape of a bell-curve, normal distribution, or Gaussian. What makes this Gaussian so special and why must the energy of the harmonic oscillator be at least  $\hbar\omega/2$ ? More next time...